for the.

Religion ve Dy'd David,

Second's

in a Re-nd most Hands,

d Infla-

oms and Pring of Cher.

Fattion

or Tros-

ontrary L. in s there 'd they

hem, a People,

chief

this Ma

their me of

the Parge fee

Con-

but n of Re-

one!

laces

Con-

They alini

be

hen

ease

, 25

Co

of

d:

r, ng. ti.

THE

REHEARSAL.

1. The Contents of the Observator out-Run his Papers.

2. A pair of Goggles to help his Eye-Sight. 3. His Ten Answers, and Ne'r a one.

The Books he Quotes, as to the first Persbyterian Church, particularly Clarkson Answer'd before.

4. And Baxter of Episcopacy.

6. All cannot Answer the Question.

7. They Deny not Episcopacy, but the Sort of Bishops. 8. Disprov'd from a Parallel Instance of Kings.

WEDNESDAY, November 26. 1707.

(1.) Country-man. fion about the first Presbyterian Church. For h the Contents of the Observator Reviv'd, Num. 15. there it is put down, his Questi-on about the first Presbyterian Church Answer'd. Rehearsal. This Author being Pitch'd upon

to Carry on the Presbyterian Cause at Prefent, for that only Cause I think him worth the Answering. And to press the Force of my Argument the more upon those who may not have duely Consider'd it at first. Let me hear therefor what his Answer is which he has thus Promis'd in the Contents of this Paper.

Country-m. He forgot that! Ther is not a Word of it in this Paper. It is only in the Contents. And at the End he Refers you for it to his next.

Rehears. Yet he fays in the Contents, the Question Answer'd. But some Read no further than the Contents. And that is enough with them to fay, O, such a thing is An-swer'd! However here is Publick No. tice given, and an Expectation Rais'd for a Considerable Answer to come! And he had time to Consult the Strength of his Party, that it might be a Good one. And now let

(2.) Country-m. He begins his Num. 16 with first Charging a Piece of Disingenuity upon you. He says, you have got a very old Trick, to begin your Paper with a Huge on, which was the first Presbyterian Church? To which ther was no Answer but to Name it. And do's he Name it? try-man that in your last Discourse you had fully prov'd several things which you scarce Mention'd. As for example (says he of you) On Saturday Nov. 8. he comes Blustering out Books he wou'd have you Answer.

Her is an Anjwer to his Admirer, "I have shew'd you, says he, come at last, Mashew about three hundred of the Clergy who were sher, to your Que
"Rabbl'd out of their Churches by the Mobb. I'm sure if he shew'd him it, he never show'd the World it: I gave my Eyes a Command to look over his last Rehearful again, because I cou'd not Remember that ever I had met with it. Not a Syllable of three hundred do I find mention'd in that Paper.

Rehearf. It is a fad Case that his Eyes wou'd not obey his Command! For if they had not been Purblind, they might have feen three hundred in that fame Paper of Nov. 5. And fet down in Words at length and not in Figures. Therefor let him Command those Eyes of his once more. And if they Cannot find it, I will tell them the Page and the Line, and Recom-mend a Pair of Spectacles to them, to help their Dimnefs.

Country-m. Ther are Goggles for the Head as well as the Eyes. And that wants Clearing too! And wou'd Become this Obfervator as well as his Predecessor.

(3.) But, Master, I come now to his An-frer to your Question, about the first Presbyterian Church. And he gives ten Answers to it.

Rehears. When Men have no Answer to give, they give many Answers. This is to Perplex and Confound and Divert from the Question. I ask'd a plain and short Questi-

Conntry m. He fays nothing of that.

Rebears. What will Cure Obstinacy and

Party! I began with them upon this Head,
and shew'd their Method in Answering our Books, and Defending their own, which is, fill to Repeat their Objections, and Quote their own Books, tho they have been Answer'd over and over again, and till they can make no Reply. But no matter for that! Still Repeat, and still Quote what has been already Answer'd, every body do's not know that! In Num. 16. of my first Volume I gave some Instances of this, particularly of a Book wrote by Carthwright the Arch-Puritan in Q. Elizabeth's time concerning Diocesan Episcopacy, which was then fully and clearly Answer'd, so as to stop any Reply. And so that matter lay affect till Clarkson reviv'd it in the Reign of K. Char. 2. In what he call'd Primitive Episcopacy, but without taking notice of the former Book of Carthwrights, and the Answer to it, so that this pass'd as a new Difcovery of Clarkson's, and mightily Cry'd up it was by the Party. Till Dr. Maurice gave it a full clear and learned Answer, which stops all their Months, and they have not been able to Reply to it to this Day. Yet De For then fet up this Book of Clarkson's, with flaming Elogiums, after his manner, and still call'd for an Answer to it! For which I then gave him sufficient Correction. Without taking any Notice of which; this Observator now Trumps up this Book of Clarkson's again, and Calls for an An-

(5) Country-m. This is Shamelefs ! He pretend to Aufwer you, and still to Repeat what you have already Answer'd, without offering to make any keply! But he Quotes like-

wife Baxter's Episcopacy.

Rehears. And that too was Excellently
Answer'd by the same Dr. Maurice, Printed
1682. Too hard for the Teeth of all the

Diffenters in England.

(6) Country m. Then instead of their bidding me Read their Books, I'll bid them Read the Answers to them. And you cou'd help me with a Catalogue of more Books wrote on the Part of the Church of England, than the Observator cou'd Read all his Life time. But what hen? Wou'd he take it for a fair Answer if I shou'd bid him Read afl the Set. Wou'd he not think this a meer Put off, and to avoid the Question? Let him take the Help of what Books he pleases, and of the most Learned of all the Differers, let them lay their Heads together (as I doubt not they have done) and Anfwer this plain Question, which was the first

Rehear! What! Tho' they have been Answer'd already!

Country m. He says nothing of that.

Presbyterian Church? Let them Name some one or other, the Proof comes after; But it is very bad with them indeed, if they cannot fo much as Name One.

Vol.

1. Al yet

fhe

the

2. Ep

3. A1

4. Ep

5. In 6. O

(i,)

ters,

it,

For

Dif

ter

th

M O fo

to m

(7.) Rehearf. If they Cou'd, they Would, no Doubt of it. But he tells you what he Expects from these Books he Quotes, he fays. They tell us what fort of Bishops were design'd by the Institution, and us'd in the Primitive Ages. And this is the Dodging us'd in all these Books of the Dissenters. They cannot deny ther were Biffings in all Churches from the Beginning. This is fo Flagrant that they cannot deny it. But then they fay, they were not such Sort of Bishops as ours, nor had that Power.

(8.) Country-m. Let me Answer now in my Turn, Master. I think my self sufficient to Deal with this Pretence. And I say, it is no matter whether they were such Sort of Bishops as ours or not, or had greater or lesser Power. For that is not the Queftion now. But whether ther was Epifcopal Government then or not? This is the present Quession. And this it seems they all yield. And then they are gone. It give them an Example, ther are several Kings in Europe, but all have not the same Power, some are more Crampe than others. But they are all Kings, and the Succession of our Kings is as Plain in England, as in France, or Spain, and an Equal Proof of France or Spain, and an Equal Proof of Monarchy. And the Succession of Bishops is as Plain for Episcopacy.

ADVERTISEMENT.

Advertisements are taken in by Mr. Morphem, at reasonable Rates.

Lately Publish'd. A Preliminary Defence of the Episfolary Dif-course, concerning the Distinction between Soul and Spirit. In two Parts. I. Against the Charge of favouring Impetels. II. Against the Charge of favouring Heretie. In the Former is inserted a Digression, Proving, that the Col-lection of the Code of the Four Gospels in Trajan's Time is no way Dereastory to the sufficient At-Time is no way Derogatory to the sufficient Attestation of them. By Henry Dodwell, M. A.

THE Deifts Manual: or a Rational Enquiry into

the Christian Religion. with some Considerations on Mr. Hobbs, Spinola, the Oracles of Reason, Second Thoughts, Ge. by C. Gildon, Gent. Publisher of the Oracles of Reason. To which is prefix'd a Letter from the Author of the Method with the Deists.

The Present State of the Court of Rome: Or the Lives of the Present Pope Clement XI. and other present College of Cardinals. Written Originally in Italian, by a Gentleman belonging to the Court

of Rome, and newly Translated into English from the Italian Manufaript, never as yet made Publick. With a Preface by the Publisher, containing fonte few Remarks on the Rife and Nature of the College of Carl dinals, on the Maxims of their Government, and a fhort Account of the present Pope's Elevation to the Papacy, and of the most remarkable Occurrences in his Pontificate.